SINGLE BENCH

(1) (44)

CF-102

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION [C] NO.9068 /2006

PETITIONER :- Novesh Raj Lalji, son of Hemant Kumr Lalji, aged about 33 years, by caste-Christian, Resident of Daupara, Mungeli, District Bilaspur [C.G.].

ORIGINAL APPLICANT IN THE TRIAL COURT

VERSUS

RESPONDENT :- Jesika Raj Lalji wife of Novesh Raj Lalji, aged about 28 years, resident of 6-4, Aversign City, Vijay Vihar Complex, Blue Heaven Apartment, Vasai [East] District Thane, Mumbai, Occupation Call Centre, 3G, Mind Space, new Ring Road, Malad, Mumbai.

Residing at present C/o Akshar Mahaul Apartment, B- Wing G-2, Vijay Vihar complex, Aver Sign city, Vasai [East] District Thane [Maharastra].

ORIGINAL NON-APPLICANT IN THE TRIAL COURT

P.R. No. 7185/06
Presented on 8/12/06
dated

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

XI-HC-78

(24)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P.(C) 7068/06 **सन् 200**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	3.1.2007: Shri Malay Bhaduri learned counsel for the petitioner. By this petition the petitioner has impugned the order dated 3.10.2006 passed by Additional District Judge, Mungeli whereby the application of the petitioner for permission to meet his minor child aged three years in the house of the respondent has been rejected solely on the ground that the respondent has not filed reply to the original application for custody of the minor child. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in the meanwhile the respondent has filed reply to the original application for custody of the minor child on 11.12.2006 and thus in view of above the petition may be disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to repeat his prayer before the Court below in the changed circumstances. Prayer of the petitioner appears to be reasonable. Accordingly, the petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to repeat his prayer for permission to meet the minor child before the court below which shall be decided after giving opportunity to the respondent. **Sd/-** **Dhirendra Mishra** **Judge**	

P.T.O.